IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

MONDAY, THE THIRTEENTH DAY OF SEPTEMBER
TWO THOUSAND AND FOUR

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE B. SUDERSHAN REDDY

and
THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE K.C. BHANU

WRIT PETITION NO : 7251 of 2002

Between:

1 R.Ananthamma W/o.R.Hanumanthu
Sabaspuram Grampanchayat
2 R.Veera Badrudu S/o.Naganna
Yedduladoddi Grampanchayat Tuggali Mandal, Kurnool
3 K.Sivamma W/o.Sambasiva Reddy
Puchakayalamada Grampanchayath Pattikonda (M), Kurnool
4 P.Pedda Obulesu
Sarpanch, Pedekallu Grampanchayat
5 J.Lakshmi Devi W/o.J.Naganna
G.Yerragudi Grampanchayat
6 G.Kotamma W/o.Naganna
Marella Grampanchayat Tuggali Mandal,
Kurnool District
7 B.Venkataramudu S/o.Govindu
Kankaveedu Grampanchayat Nandavaram Mandal
Kurnool District
8 Jakaraiah S/o.Janaiah
Gurajala Grampanchayat Nandavaram Mandal
Kurnool District
9 K.Venkanna S/o.Hanumanthu
Nandavaram Grampanchayat Nandavaram Mandal
Kurnool District
10 B.Somanna S/o.Bathakappa
T.Somalegudem Grampanchayat Nandavaram Mandal
Kurnool District
11 Mareppa S/o.Ayyappe
Metta Somapuram Grampanchayat Nandavaram Mandal
Kurnool District

..... PETITIONER(S)

AND

1 The Government of A.P. rep.by its Secretary,
Panchayat Raj & Rural Development Department
2 The Chief Executive Officer, Zilla Parishad, Kurnool
3 Mandal Parishad Development Officer, Tuggali Mandal, Kurnool District
4 Mandal Parishad Development Officer Nandavaram Mandal, Kurnool District
5 The Executive Engineer, Panchayat Raj, Adoni, Kurnool District

.....RESPONDENT(S)

Petition under Article 226 of the constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to to issue a writ or direction more particularly in the nature of a writ of Mandamus declaring the impugned circular Memo No.34055/Progs.IV/A1/2001-1, Dt.22/10/2001 as unconstitutional opposed to Art.243-G, 11th Schedule of the Constitution, opposed to G.O.Ms.No.589, Dt.29/09/1989 and illegal as the procedure laidown in Sec.268 of Panchayat Raj Act 1994 is not followed and grant such other relief as this Hon'ble Court deems fit and proper.

Counsel for the Petitioner:MR.G.VEERA REDDY

Counsel for the Respondent No.: GP FOR PANCHAYAT RAJ & RURAL DEV.

The Court made the following :

O r d e r: (Per BRSR,J)

It is stated by Sri Vinay Kamishetty, learned advocate representing Sri G. Veera Reddy, learned counsel for the petitioner that the cause in this writ petition does not survive requiring any adjudication.

The writ petition shall stand accordingly dismissed.

_____

B.Sudershan Reddy, J.

_____

K.C. Bhanu, J.

Copies to:

1. **Two copies to Govt. Pol;eader for Panchayat Raj and Rural Development, High Court of A.P., Hyderabad (on puc)**
2. **Two C.D. copies**
3. **The Secretary, Panchayat Raj and Rural Development Dept., Govt. of A.P., Secretariat, Hyderabad.**
4. **The Chief Executive Officer, Zilla Parishad, Kurnool.**
5. **The Mandal Parishad Development Officer, Tuggali Mandal, Kurnool.**
6. **The Mandal Parishad Development Officer, Nandavaram Mandal, Kurnool District.**
7. **The ExecutiveEngineer, Panchayat Raj, Adoni, Kurnool District.**